

REMARKS

This Amendment responds to the Office Action mailed on August 15, 2005. Claims 1-21 have been cancelled, and new claims 22-39 have been added. It is believed that this Amendment places this case in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, claims 1-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Amir, et al. (U.S. Application Publication No. 2004/0198398). Claims 1-21 have been cancelled, and claims 22-39 have been added. Applicants submit that new claims 21-39 are patentably distinct from the Amir reference.


The Amir reference does not disclose, teach, suggest, or motivate all of the elements of new claims 21-39. For example, Amir does not disclose an awareness server configured to communicate with a plurality of wireless devices, comprising: one or more memory devices configured to store contact information for the plurality of wireless devices, the contact information for each of the plurality of wireless devices including at least a unique identifier for the wireless device and information indicating a wireless base station to which the wireless device was last reported to be in communication with; a listener object configured to receive location data for a wireless device, the location data including the unique identifier for the wireless device and information indicating a wireless base station to which the wireless device was last reported to be in communication with; an updater object configured to update the contact information with the location data received by the listener object; a matching object configured to compare the location data for two or more of the plurality of wireless devices, to detect multiple devices last reported to be in communication with the same wireless base station, and to generate an alert when a match is detected; and an alerter object configured to receive the

alert from the matching object and to transmit an awareness notification to one or more wireless devices., as recited in new claim 21.

For at least these reasons, Applicants contend that claims 21-39 are patentably distinct from the cited reference and are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is, therefor, respectfully requested to enter this Amendment and pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY



Joseph M. Sauer (Reg. No. 47,919)
Jones Day
North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 586-7506